

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/813,116	FAHRAEUS, CHRISTER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FIRMN BACKER	3621	

All Participants:

(1) FIRMN BACKER.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: ____

(3) Kathy Voisinnet.

(4) _____.


Date of Interview: 3 November 2005
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:


- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: Digital pen and digital paper.
Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:
1-23, 33-52
Prior art documents discussed:
2002/0091711
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant gave a brief demonstration of the scope of the invention. Applicant argued that th prior art fail to teach all the limitation disclosed in the claim 1, especially "creating a valuable document in response..... coding pattern" and claim 15 "checking whether the valuable document is acceptable." Examiner agreed with Applicant argument to conduct further search upon receiving an official response. .